

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O., Turkmenb, L., & Roychoud, A. (2010). Student Difficulties in Socio-scientific Argumentation and Decision-making Research Findings: Crossing the borders of two research lines. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(9), 1191–1206.
- Albe, V. (2008). Students' positions and considerations of scientific evidence about a controversial socioscientific issue. *Science & Education*, 17(8-9), 805–827.
- Anderson, O. R., Randle, D., & Covotsos, T. (2001). The role of ideational in laboratory inquiry learning and knowledge of evolution among seventh grade students. *Science Education*, 85, 410-425.
- Andriessen, J. (2006). Arguing to Learn. In R. K. Sawyer, *The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 443-459). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Antao, L. (2013). *Buy the right deodourant*. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/beauty/Buy-the-right-deodourant/articleshow/19674153.cms>
- Aufschnaiter, C. v., Erduran, S., Osborne, J., & Simon, S. (2008). Arguing to learn and learning to argue: Case studies of how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), 101-131.
- Badan POM RI. (2009). *Petunjuk Operasional Pelaksanaan Cara Pembuatan Obat yang Baik*. Jakarta: Badan POM RI.
- Bao, L., Cai, Tianfan., Koenig, Kathy., Fang, Kai., Han, Jing., Wang, Jing., Liu, Qing., & Ding, Lin. (2009). Learning and Scientific Reasoning. *Science Education*, 323, 586-595.
- Barzantny, H., Brune, I., & Tauch, A. (2011). Molecular basis of human body odour formation: insights deduced from corynebacterial genome sequences. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 34, 2-11.
- Baxter, P. M., & Reed, J. V. (1983). The evaluation of underarm deodorants. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 5, 85-95.
- Bekiroglu, F. O., & Eskin, H. (2012). Examination of the relationship between engagement in scientific argumentation and conceptual knowledge. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10, 1415-1443.
- Berland, L. K., & Reiser, B. J. (2009). Making sense of argumentation and explanation. *Science Education*, 93(1), 26–55.

- Bricker, L. A., & Bell, P. (2008). Conceptualizations of argumentation from science studies and the learning sciences and their implications for the practices of science education. *Science Education*, 92(3), 473–498.
- Brown, N., Furtak, E., Timms, M., Nagashima, S., & Wilson, M. (2010). The evidence-based reasoning framework: Assessing scientific reasoning. *Educational Assessment*, 15(3), 123–141.
- Buttery, R. G., Guadagni, D. G., Ling, L. C., Seifert, R. M., & Lipton, W. (1976). Additional volatile components of cabbage, broccoli, and cauliflower. *Agriculture food chemical*, 829-832.
- Castano, C. (2008). Socio-scientific discussions as a way to improve the comprehension of science and the understanding of the interrelation between species and environment. *Research in Science Education*, 38, 565-587.
- Chandler, E. (2013). *Increasing Evidence Based Reasoning in an 8th Grade Classroom Through Explicit Instruction*. Portland: Portland State University.
- Chang, S. N., & Chiu, M. H. (2008). Lakatos' scientific research programmes as a framework for analysing informal argumentation about socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(13), 1753-1773.
- Chinn, C. A., & Clark, D. B. (2013). Learning through collaborative argumentation. In C. E. Hmelo-Silver, C. A. Chinn, D. B. Clark, & A. M. O'Donnell, *International Handbook of Collaborative Learning* (pp. 314-332). New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Creswell, J. (2012). *Education Research, Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research* (4th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Cross, D., Taasoobshirazi, G., Hendricks, S., & Hickey, D. T. (2008). Argumentation: A strategy for improving achievement and revealing scientific identities. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(6), 837–861.
- Damanik, B. T., Etnawati, K., & Padmawati, R. S. (2011). Persepsi remaja putri di Kota Ambon tentang resiko terpapar kosmetik berbahaya dan perilakunya dalam memilih dan menggunakan kosmetik. *Berita Kedokteran Masyarakat*, 27(1), 1.
- Darbre, P. D. (2009). Underarm antiperspirants/deodorants and breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Research*, 1-5.
- Dawson, V. M., & Venville, G. J. (2009). High-school Students' Informal Reasoning and Argumentation about Biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy? *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(11), 1421–1445.

- Dawson, V. M., & Venville, G. J. (2010). Teaching Strategies for Developing Students' Argumentation Skills About Socioscientific Issues in High School Genetics. *Research in Science Education*, 40(2), 133–148.
- Dawson, V. M., & Venville, G. J. (2013). Introducing High School Biology Students to Argumentation About Socioscientific Issues. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 13(4), 356–372.
- Ditjen POM. (1985). *Formularium Kosmetika Indonesia*. Jakarta: Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia.
- Djuanda, A. (2011). *Ilmu Penyakit Kulit dan Kelamin Edisi 6*. Jakarta: Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Indonesia.
- Dowding, D. (2011). Conceptualising decision making in nursing education. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 17(4), 348–360.
- Duggan, S., & Gott, R. (2000). Understanding evidence in investigations: the way to a more relevant curriculum? In J. Sears, & P. Sorensen (ed.), *Issues in science teaching* (pp. 60-69). Routledge: Falmer.
- Duschl, R. A., & Osborne, J. (2002). Supporting and Promoting Argumentation Discourse in Science Education. *Studies in Science Education*, 38(1), 39–72.
- Eggert, S., & Borgeholz, S. (2010). Students' use of decision-making strategies with regard to socioscientific issues: An application of the Rasch partial credit mode. *Science Education*, 94, 230–258.
- Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M. P. (2011). Argumentation in Science Education Research. In S. Erduran, & M. P. Jiménez-Aleixandre, *Science Education Research and Practice in Europe* (pp. 253-289). Netherlands: Springer Netherlands.
- Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M. P. (2015). Argumentation in Science Education. In S. Erduran, & M. P. Jiménez-Aleixandre, *Argumentation* (pp. 54-59). Netherlands: Springer Netherlands.
- Eskin, H., & Bekiroglu, F. O. (2013). Argumentation as a Strategy for Conceptual Learning of Dynamics. *Research in Science Education*, 43(5), 1939-1956.
- Evagorou, M., Jimenez-Aleixandre, M. P., & Osborne, J. (2012). 'Should We Kill the Grey Squirrels?' A Study Exploring Students' Justifications and Decision-Making. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(3), 401–428.
- Fanani, Z. (2014). *Pengaruh Antara Personal Hygiene Kulit Dengan angka Kejadian Scabies Pada Remaja di Pondok Pesantren Al Hidayah Ketegan Tanggulangin Sidoarjo*. Surabaya: STIKES Hang Tuah.

- Foong, C.-C., & Daniel, E. G. (2010). Assessing students' arguments made in socio-scientific contexts: The considerations of structural complexity and the depth of content knowledge. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 1120–1127.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.
- Galotti, K. M. (2007). Decision structuring in important real-life decisions. *Psychological Science*, 18, 320-325.
- Glöckner, A., & Witteman, C. (2009). Beyond dual-process models: A categorisation of processes underlying intuitive judgement and decision making. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 16(1), 1–25.
- Grace, M. (2009). Developing High Quality Decision-Making Discussions About Biological Conservation in a Normal Classroom Setting. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(4), 551–570.
- Gresch, H., Hasselhorn, M., & Bogeholz, S. (2013). Training in Decision-Making Strategies: An Approach to Enhance Students' Competence to Deal with Socioscientific Issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(15), 2587-2607.
- Gros, L., & Keith, H. (2009). *Chemistry Changes Everything-Deodorant and Antiperspirant*. CITiEs.
- Harry, R. G. (1982). *Harry's Cosmeticology*. 7th ed. New York: Chemical Publishing Company Inc.
- Herawati, D. (2015). *Penalaran Ilmiah (Scientific Reasoning) Siswa Sekolah Berorientasi Lingkungan dan Sekolah Multinasional*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Hodgkinson, G. P., & Maule, A. J. (2002). Further reflections on the elimination of framing bias in strategic decision making. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(11), 1069-1076.
- Holdings, N. (2014). *The Role of Content (In the Consumer Decision Making Process)*. Diemen: The Nielsen Company.
- Hon-Tat, H., Ai-Chin, T., Hooi, P. S., & Rasli, A. (2011). Situational Factors and Intuitive Decision Style among Academicians. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 1(7), 231-236.
- Inaba, M., & Inaba, Y. (1992). *Human Body Odor (Etiology, Treatment, and Related Factors)*. Tokyo: Springer Japan.

- Inch, E. S., Warnick, B., & Endres, D. (2006). *Critical Thinking and Communication: The Use of Reason in Argument 5th Edition*. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.
- Jho, H., Yoon, H.-G., & Kim, M. (2014). The Relationship of Science Knowledge, Attitude and Decision Making on Socio-scientific Issues: The Case Study of Students' Debates on a Nuclear Power Plant in Korea. *Science & Education*, 23(5), 1131–1151.
- Jime'nez-Aleixandre, M.-P. (2002). Knowledge producers or knowledge consumers? Argumentation and decision making about environmental management. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(11), 1171–1190.
- Jime'nez-Aleixandre, M. P., Rodri, A. B., & Duschl, R. A. (2000). “Doing the Lesson” or “Doing Science”: Argument in High School Genetics. *Science Education*, 34, 757–792.
- Jonassen, D. H. (2012). Designing for decision making. *Education Technology Research and Development*, 60(2), 341–359.
- Kaya, E., Erduran, S., & Cetin, P. S. (2010). High school students' perception of argumentation. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 3971 - 3975.
- Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. (2013). *Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum 2013 untuk Sekolah Menengah Pertama/Madrasah Tsanawiyah*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud.
- Kolstø, S. D. (2006). Patterns in students' argumentation confronted with a risk-focused socio-scientific issue. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28, 1689–1716.
- Krajcik, J. S., & Blumenfeld, P. (2006). Project Based Learning. In R. K. Sawyer (ed.). *Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences* (pp. 317-333). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lase, B. D. (2015). *Formulasi Sediaan Deodoran Antiperspiran Bentuk Batang (Stick) dengan Alumunium Kalium Sulfat (Tawas)*. Medan: Universitas Sumatra Utara.
- Lee, Y. C., & Grace, M. (2012). Students' reasoning and decision making about a socioscientific issue: A cross-context comparison. *Science Education*, 96(5), 787–807.
- Lin, S., & Mintzes, J. J. (2010). Learning Learning Argumentation Skills through Instruction in Socioscientific Issues: The Effect of Ability Level. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8(6), 993–1017.

- Lundstrom, M., Ekborg, M., & Ideland, M. (2012). To vaccinate or not to vaccinate: how teenagers justified their decision. *Culture Study of Science Education*, 7(1), 193–221.
- Macagno, F., & Konstantinidou, A. (2013). What Students' Arguments Can Tell Us: Using Argumentation Schemes in Science Education. *Argumentation*, 27(3), 225–243.
- McDonald, C. V. (2014). Preservice Primary Teachers' Written Arguments in a Socioscientific Argumentation Task. *Journal of Science Education*, 18(7), 1-20.
- McNeil, K., & Krajcik, J. (2008). Scientific explanations: Characterizing and evaluating the effects of teachers' instructional practices on student learning. *Science Education*, 45(1), 53-78.
- McNeil, K., & Pimentel, D. (2010). Scientific discourse in three urban classrooms: The role of the teacher in engaging high school students in argumentation. *Science Education*, 94(2), 203–229.
- Montagna, W., Ellis, R. A., & Silver, A. F. (1963). The Sebaceous Glands. *Advances in Biology of Skin* (p. 260). Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Murray, J., Bradley, H., & Craigie, W. (2001). *Oxford English Dictionary*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Murtagh, J. (1994). *Body odour*. New York: McGraw Hill Education.
- Navarre, M. G. (1975). *The Chemistry and Manufacture of Cosmetics*. Florida: Continental Press.
- Nurjanah, A. (2012). Personal Hygiene Siswa Sekolah Dasar Negeri Jatinangor. *Jurnal UNPAD*, 1(1).
- Osborne, J. (2010). Arguing to learn in science: The role of collaborative, critical discourse. *Science*, 328, 463–466.
- Osborne, J. F., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2004). Enhancing the quality of argumentation in school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(10), 994–1020.
- Patronis, T., Potari, D., & Spiliotopoulou, V. (1999). Students' argumentation in decision-making on a socio-scientific issue: implications for teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21(7), 745–754.
- Perkins, D. N. (2009). Decision Making and Its Development. In E. Callan, T. Grotzer, J. Kagan, R. E. Nisbett, D. N. Perkins, & L. S. Shulman, *Education and a Civil Society: Teaching Evidence-Based Decision Making* (pp. 1-28). Irving Street: American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

- Perkins, D. N., & Segal, J. W. (1991). *Informal reasoning and education*. NJ Hillsdale: Erlbaum.
- Purwanto, N. (2010). *Prinsip - Prinsip dan Teknik Evaluasi Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Rahayu, S., Sherley, & Indrawati, S. (2009, November 12). Deodoran-antiperspirant. *Buletin Naturakos*, pp. 7-12.
- Ratcliffe, M., & Grace, M. (2003). *Science education for citizenship - Teachingsocioscientific issues*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Rennie, P. J., Gower, D. B., Holland, K. T., Mallet, A. I., & Watkins, W. J. (1990). The skin microflora and the formation of human axillary odour. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 12, 197-207.
- Rikowski, A., & Grammer, K. (1999). Human body odour, symmetry and attractiveness. *Biology Science*, 266(1422), 869-874.
- Rivard, L. P., & Straw, S. B. (2000). The effect of talk and writing on learning science: An exploratory study. *Science Education*, 84, 566–593.
- Roberts, S. C., Gosling, L. M., Spector, T. D., Miller, P., Penn, D. J., & Petrie, M. (2005). Body odor similarity in noncohabiting twins. *Chemical Senses*, 30(8), 651-656.
- Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2005). The significance of content knowledge for informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issue: Applying genetics knowledge to genetic engineering issues. *Science Education*, 89(1), 71–93.
- Sakschewski, M., Eggert, S., Schneider, S., & Bogeholz, S. (2014). Students' Socioscientific Reasoning and Decision Making on Energy Related Issues-Development of a measurement instrument. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(14), 2291–2313.
- Sampson, V., & Clark, D. B. (2008). Assessment of the Ways Students Generate Arguments in Science Education: Current Perspectives and Recommendations for Future Directions. *Wiley Periodicals*, 92, 447 – 472.
- Sampson, V., & Clark, D. B. (2011). A Comparison of the Collaborative Scientific Argumentation Practices of Two High and Two Low Performing Groups. *Research in Science Education*, 41(1), 63–97.
- Schwarz, B. B., Neuman, Y., Gil, J., & Ilya, M. (2003). Construction of Collective and Individual Knowledge in Argumentative Activity. *The Journal of The Learning Sciences*, 12(2), 219–256.
- Siegel, M. A. (2006). High school students' decision making about sustainability. *Environmental Education Research*, 12(2), 201-215.

- Simon, S. (2008). Using Toulmin's Argument Pattern in the evaluation of argumentation in school science. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 31(3), 277–289.
- Simon, S., Erduran, S., & Osborne, J. (2006). Learning to teach argumentation: Research and development in the science classroom. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(2-3), 235–260.
- Singh, D., & Bronstad, P. M. (2001). Female body odour is a potential cue to ovulation. *Biology Science*, 268(1469), 797-801.
- Sutopo, & Waldrup, B. (2013). Impact of a Representational Approach on Students' Reasoning and Conceptual Understanding in Learning Mechanics. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 12(4), 741-765.
- Swaile, D. F., Elstun, L. T., & Benzing, K. W. (2011). Clinical Studies Of sweat rate reduction by an over-the-counter soft-solid antiperspirant and comparison with a prescription antiperspirant product in male panelists. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 166(1), 22-26.
- Taber, K. S., & Bricheno, P. A. (2009). Coordinating Procedural and Conceptual Knowledge to Make Sense of Word Equations: Understanding the complexity of a 'simple' completion task at the learner's resolution. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(15), 2021-2055.
- Varma, K. (2014). Supporting Scientific Experimentation and Reasoning in Young Elementary School Students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 23(3), 381-397.
- Waldrup, B., Prain, V., & Sellings, P. (2013). Explaining Newton's laws of motion: using student reasoning through representations to develop conceptual understanding. *Instructional Science*, 41, 165–189.
- Walton, D., & Macagno, F. (2006). Common knowledge in argumentation. *Studies in Communication Sciences*, 6, 3-26.
- Wang, J., & Buck, G. (2015). The Relationship Between Chinese Students' Subject Matter Knowledge and Argumentation Pedagogy. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(2), 340–366.
- Wang, Y., & Ruhe, G. (2007). The Cognitive Process of Decision Making. *Journal of Cognitive Informatics and Natural Intelligence*, 1(2), 73-85.
- Wasitaatmadja, S. M. (1997). *Penuntun Ilmu Kosmetik Medik*. Jakarta: UI-Press.
- Wu, Y.-T., & Tsai, C.-C. (2011). High School Students' Informal Reasoning Regarding a Socio-scientific Issue, with Relation to Scientific Epistemological Beliefs and Cognitive Structures. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(3), 371–400.

- Yang, F.-Y., & Tsai, C.-C. (2010). Reasoning about science-related uncertain issues and epistemological perspectives among children. *Instructional Science*, 38, 325–354.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering Students' Knowledge and Argumentation Skills Through Dilemmas in Human Genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35-62.